UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,964 03/26/2004		Jayanta Kumar Dey	99-851CON1	9817
25537 VERIZON	7590 09/05/200		EXAMINER	
	NAGEMENT GROUP	NGUYEN, CHAU T		
SUITE 500	THOUSE ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201-2909	2176		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/05/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@verizon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/810,964	DEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CHAU NGUYEN	2176	

		CHAUNGUTEN	2176	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REP	LY FILED <u>18 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
appl appl	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on ication, applicant must timely file one of the following rication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) 🔲 ·	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
, 	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(t	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
have been to under 37 Cl set forth in to may reduce	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extending is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the solution (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later of any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2.	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
	the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exterce of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi <u>ENTS</u>			e appeal. Since a
	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause
` ' =	They raise new issues that would require further cor	,	TE below);	
` ' =	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•		
(c) L	☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re-	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d)[appeal; and/or ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims	
(G)_	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	sorresponding number of finally reju	solod olalims.	
4.	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOI -324)
	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphane, anonamone (1 0 2 0 2 1 //
	wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
	allowable claim(s).	,		g
how The	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is provistatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
	m(s) allowed:			
	m(s) objected to: m(s) rejected: <u>1-34</u> .			
	m(s) rejected. <u>7-34.</u> m(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	T OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
beca	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, burause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
ente	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing red because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
	FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. ∐ Th€	e request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
	te the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (her: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/Rachna S Desai/		
		Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2176	
		Timary Examinor, Art C	217 0	

Continuation of 13. Other: In the remarks, Applicant argued in substance that

A) No claim of the US Patent No. 6,757,866 recites "identifying a temporal range", and weighing terms "according to a temporal position of the term within the temporal range" is not an obvious variation of the subject matter claimed in the patent No. 6,757,866.

In response to argument A, as the examiner has pointed out that the specification of page 7, paragraph [0027] recites "finding documents which relate to a portion of a temporal document includes (a) in response to a signal of interest at a particular time during the temporal document, identifying a portion of the temporal document for which related documents are to be found". Therefore, for the purpose of this examination, "temporal range" is interpreted as "a portion of temporal document" as described in the specification. Thus, the US Patent 6,757,866 recites "identifying a portion of temporal document".

B) Applicant's pointed out Figures 2-5 and paragraphs 0037-0044 and 0053-0055 for supporting "temporal range" and "the term score of a term is weighted according to a temporal position of the term within the temporal range". In reply to argument B, even though applicant(s) pointed out paragraphs [0037]-[0044] and [0053]-[0055] in the specification and Figures 2-5 for supporting amended limitations in claims 1, 14, and 27-34, but the examiner could not find anything that relates to "temporal range". Figure 2 shows the interest of the user in the content of the temporal document begins at time t2 before the indication of interest and ends at time t1 before the indication of interest, and is equal between times t1 and t1, but there is no "temporal range" and "the term score of a term is weighted according to a temporal position of a term within the temporal range."

C) Prior art does not disclose "identifying a temporal range". In reply to argument C, "identifying a temporal range" is interpreted as "identifying a portion of temporal document" as described in the specification (page 7, paragraph 0027). Wistendahl et al disclose in col. 2, lines 41-58, col. 3, lines 38-48, and col. 7, lines 55-59, and col. 8, lines 38-67: as the movie (temporal document) runs, the user can point the remote control pointer to a designated actor or object appearing on the television display and click on the desired object (portion of the movie or temporal range).

The amendment filed on 08/18/2008 will not be entered because it contains newly added claims 35 and 36, which require further search and/or consideration.